arXiv:1303.2090v1 [hep-th] 8 Mar 2013

A generalization of the Lax pair for the pure spinor superstring in $AdS_5 \times S^5$

Andrei Mikhailov

Instituto de Física Teórica, Universidade Estadual Paulista
R. Dr. Bento Teobaldo Ferraz 271, Bloco II – Barra Funda
CEP:01140-070 – São Paulo, Brasil

Abstract

We show that the Lax pair of the pure spinor superstring in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ admits a generalization where the generators of the superconformal algebra are replaced by the generators of some infinite-dimensional Lie superalgebra.

1 Introduction

1.1 Lax operator

The notion of Lax operator is central in the theory of integrable systems. For the pure spinor superstring in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ the Lax pair was constructed in [1]:

$$\begin{aligned} L_+ = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau^+} + \left(J_{0+}^{[mn]} - N_+^{[mn]} \right) t^0_{[mn]} + \\ + \frac{1}{z} J^{\alpha}_{3+} t^3_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{z^2} J^m_{2+} t^2_m + \frac{1}{z^3} J^{\dot\alpha}_{1+} t^1_{\dot\alpha} + \frac{1}{z^4} N_+^{[mn]} t^0_{[mn]} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_- = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau^-} + \left(J_{0-}^{[mn]} - N_-^{[mn]} \right) t^0_{[mn]} + \\ + z J^{\dot\alpha}_{1-} t^1_{\dot\alpha} + z^2 J^m_{2-} t^2_m + z^3 J^{\alpha}_{3-} t^3_{\alpha} + z^4 N_-^{[mn]} t^0_{[mn]} \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $t^0_{[mn]}, t^1_{\dot\alpha}, t^2_m, t^3_\alpha$ are generators of $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{psl}(4|4)$:

$\mathbf{g}$

$$\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{psl}(4|4) \tag{3}$$

satisfying the super-commutation relation[1] :

$$[t^{\bar a}_A, t^{\bar b}_B] = f_{AB}{}^C t_C^{\bar a + \bar b \mod 4} \tag{4}$$

J are currents:

J

$$J_{\bar k} = -(dgg^{-1})_{\bar k} \tag{5}$$

z is a complex number which is called *spectral parameter*.

It follows from the equations of motion that $[L_+, L_-] = 0$. This observation can be taken as a starting point of the classical integrability theory.

One can interpret $\frac{1}{z} t^3_\alpha$, $\frac{1}{z^2} t^2_m$, $\frac{1}{z^3} t^1_{\dot\alpha}$, $\ldots$ as generators of the twisted loop superalgebra[2] $L\mathbf{g} = L\mathbf{psl}(4|4)$. This observation allows us to rewrite (1) and

$L\mathbf{g}$

---

[1] "Super-commutator" means commutator of even with even or even with odd, and anti-commutator of odd with odd element; the upper index $\bar a, \bar b, \ldots$ of $t^{\bar a}_A$ is redundant, it reminds about the $\mathbf{Z}_4$ grading; the notations we use in this paper are the same as in [2] and [3]

[2] The word "twisted" means that the power of the spectral parameter mod 4 should correlate with the $\mathbf{Z}_4$-grading of the generators

(2) as follows:

$$L_+ = \frac{\partial}{\partial\tau^+} + \left(J_{0+}^{[mn]} - N_+^{[mn]}\right) T^0_{[mn]} + \\ + J^\alpha_{3+} T^{-1}_\alpha + J^m_{2+} T^{-2}_m + J^{\dot\alpha}_{1+} T^{-3}_{\dot\alpha} + N_+^{[mn]} T^{-4}_{[mn]} \tag{6}$$

$$L_- = \frac{\partial}{\partial\tau^-} + \left(J_{0-}^{[mn]} - N_-^{[mn]}\right) T^0_{[mn]} + \\ + J^{\dot\alpha}_{1-} T^{1}_{\dot\alpha} + J^m_{2-} T^{2}_m + J^{\alpha}_{3-} T^{3}_{\alpha} + N_-^{[mn]} T^{4}_{[mn]} \tag{7}$$

where T^{-1}_α replaces $\frac{1}{z}t^3_\alpha$ *etc.*; operators T^n_A are generators of the twisted loop superalgebra. Withe these new notations, the spectral parameter is not present in $L_\pm$. Instead of entering explicitly in $L_\pm$, it now *parametrizes a representation* of the generators T^n_A.

In this paper we will observe that there is a further generalization. One can generalize $L\mathbf{g}$ to the infinite-dimensional superalgebra $\mathcal{L}_{\rm tot}$ which was introduced in [3].

1.2 Super-Yang-Mills algebra

The super-Yang-Mills algebra is the Lie superalgebra formed by the letters ∇^L_α which satisfy:

$$\{\nabla^L_\alpha\;,\;\nabla^L_\beta\}\Gamma^{\alpha\beta}_{m_1\cdots m_5} = 0 \tag{8}$$

This is the basic constraint on the covariant derivatives in the $N = 4$ $U(N)$ SYM theory:

$$\nabla^L_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^\alpha} + \theta^\beta \Gamma^m_{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} + A_\alpha \tag{9}$$

where $A_\alpha = A_\alpha(x,\theta)$ is an $N\times N$ matrix — the super-vector-potential. The constraints (8) imply all the equations of motion [4].

Let us forget (9) and consider (8) as defining an abstract infinite-dimensional Lie superalgebra. This is called "SYM superalgebra". This algebra is useful by itself, for example it was conjectured in [5] that the deformations of this algebra are in one-to-one correspondence with the deformations of the maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory. However, in our opinion, the physical meaning of this algebra remains to be understood.

Is there a similar algebra for SUGRA? We do not know the answer to this question. However, in [3] we introduced some infinite-dimensional Lie superalgebra associated to the Type IIB superstring in $AdS_5 \times S^5$. It was useful for the study of massless vertex operators. In this paper we will explain that this algebra is also naturally related to the structure of the Lax operator.

2 Infinite-dimensional Lie superalgebra associated with $AdS_5 \times S^5$

In this section we will discuss the infinite-dimensional Lie superalgebra $\mathcal{L}_{\rm tot}$ introduced in [3]. We will show that the definition of the commutator is self-consistent.

2.1 SYM superalgebra

The construction of the SYM superalgebra uses the Koszul duality of quadratic algebras. Consider the algebra $A = \mathbf{C}[\lambda_L]$ which is the algebra of polynomial functions of the pure spinor λ_L:

$$\Gamma^m_{\alpha\beta}\lambda^\alpha_L\lambda^\beta_L = 0 \tag{10}$$

This is a commutative algebra, therefore the Koszul dual $A^!$ of A is the universal enveloping of a Lie superalgebra[3]; this Lie superalgebra is formed by the letters ∇^L_α which satisfy:

$$\{\nabla^L_\alpha\ ,\ \nabla^L_\beta\}\Gamma^{\alpha\beta}_{m_1\cdots m_5} = 0 \tag{11}$$

By definition $A^!$ is the factor-algebra of the tensor algebra, *i.e.* it is formed by linear combinations of expressions of the form:

$$\nabla_{\alpha_1} \otimes \nabla_{\alpha_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \nabla_{\alpha_p} \tag{12}$$

modulo the subspace formed by the expressions of the form:

$$\cdots \otimes \Gamma^{\alpha\beta}_{m_1\cdots m_5}\nabla_\alpha \otimes \nabla_\beta \otimes \cdots \tag{13}$$

2.2 Gluing together two SYM superalgebras

Consider two copies of the Yang-Mills algebras, $\mathcal{L}_L$ generated by ∇^L_α, and $\mathcal{L}_R$ generated by $\nabla^R_{\dot\alpha}$ (the use of the dotted spinor indices to enumerate the generators of $\mathcal{L}_R$ is traditional). As in [3], we will introduce the Lie superalgebra $\mathcal{L}_{\rm tot}$ which as a linear space is the direct sum:

$\mathcal{L}_{L|R}$

$\mathcal{L}_{\rm tot}$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm tot} = \mathcal{L}_L + \mathcal{L}_R + \mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}} \tag{14}$$

where $\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}$ is a finite-dimensional Lie algebra:

$\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}$

$$\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}} = sp(2) \oplus sp(2) \tag{15}$$

We have to explain the structure of a Lie superalgebra on $\mathcal{L}_{\rm tot}$.

2.2.1 Definition of the commutator

Subalgebras $\mathcal{L}_L$ and $\mathcal{L}_R$ First of all, let us remember the structure of $\mathcal{L}_L$ and $\mathcal{L}_R$. Let V_L denote the linear space generated by 16 basis elements ∇^L_α, $\alpha \in \{1, \ldots, 16\}$. Similarly, V_R will stand for the 16-dimensional linear space generated by $\nabla^R_{\dot\alpha}$. For any linear space V, the tensor algebra $T(V)$ is the free associative algebra generated by the elements of V. Its elements are denoted, as usual, $v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_p$, where $v_i \in V$. Notice that $T(V)$ is a graded algebra, the degree being the rank of a tensor. Consider the following elements $\mathcal{R}_{Lm_1\cdots m_5} \in T(V_L)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{Rm_1\cdots m_5} \in T(V_R)$:

$T(V)$

$\langle \mathcal{R}_{L|R} \rangle$

$$\mathcal{R}_{Lm_1\cdots m_5} = \Gamma^{\alpha\beta}_{m_1\cdots m_5} \nabla^L_\alpha \otimes \nabla^L_\beta \tag{16}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{Rm_1\cdots m_5} = \Gamma^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}_{m_1\cdots m_5} \nabla^R_{\dot\alpha} \otimes \nabla^R_{\dot\beta} \tag{17}$$

We denote $\langle \mathcal{R}_L \rangle$ the ideal of $T(V_L)$ generated by $\mathcal{R}_{Lm_1\cdots m_5}$, and similarly $\langle \mathcal{R}_R \rangle \subset T(V_R)$. Introduce the quadratic algebras:

$A_{L|R}$

$$A_L = T(V_L)/\langle \mathcal{R}_L \rangle \tag{18}$$

$$A_R = T(V_R)/\langle \mathcal{R}_R \rangle \tag{19}$$

It turns out that $\mathcal{A}_L$ is the universtal enveloping of the Yang-Mills algebra $\mathcal{L}_L$, and $\mathcal{A}_R$ is the universal enveloping of $\mathcal{L}_R$. The subspace of primitive elements of A_L is $\mathcal{L}^L$, and the subspace of primitive elements of A_R is $\mathcal{L}^R$. Primitive elements are those which can be obtained as nested commutators of the letters ∇^L (or ∇^R), for example this one:

$$\nabla^L_\alpha \otimes (\nabla^L_\beta \otimes \nabla^L_\gamma + \nabla^L_\gamma \otimes \nabla^L_\beta) - (\nabla^L_\beta \otimes \nabla^L_\gamma + \nabla^L_\gamma \otimes \nabla^L_\beta) \otimes \nabla^L_\alpha \tag{20}$$

is $[\nabla^L_\alpha, \{\nabla^L_\beta, \nabla^L_\gamma\}]$. We therefore identified the linear space (14) as the direct sum of three linear spaces:

---

[3] here we need the Koszul property of the pure spinor constraint (10)

- the subspace $\mathcal{L}_L$ of primitive elements of $A_L = T(V_L)/\langle \mathcal{R}_L \rangle$
- the subspace $\mathcal{L}_R$ of primitive elements of $A_R = T(V_R)/\langle \mathcal{R}_R \rangle$
- and $\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}$

Commutators: $[\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}, \mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}]$**,** $[\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}, \mathcal{L}_L]$ **and** $[\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}, \mathcal{L}_R]$ The definition of the commutator of two elements in $\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}} \subset \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{tot}}$:

$$[t^0_{[kl]}\ ,\ t^0_{[mn]}] = f_{[kl][mn]}{}^{[pq]} t^0_{[pq]} \tag{21}$$

where $f_{[kl][mn]}{}^{[pq]}$ are structure constants of $\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}} \subset \mathbf{g}$, as in (4).

The definition of the commutators $[u, x_L]$ and $[u, x_R]$ where $u \in \mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}$, $x_L \in \mathcal{L}_L$ and $x_R \in \mathcal{L}_R$:

$$\begin{aligned}\left[t^0_{[mn]}\ ,\ \nabla^L_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \nabla^L_{\alpha_p}\right] = {} & f_{[mn]\alpha_1}{}^{\alpha'_1} \nabla^L_{\alpha'_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \nabla^L_{\alpha_p} + \\ & + \ldots + f_{[mn]\alpha_p}{}^{\alpha'_p} \nabla^L_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \nabla^L_{\alpha'_p}\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

and similar formula for $\left[t^0_{[mn]}\ ,\ \nabla^R_{\dot{\alpha}_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \nabla^R_{\dot{\alpha}_p}\right]$. Again, $f_{[mn]\alpha}{}^{\beta}$ and $f_{[mn]\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\dot{\beta}}$ are the structure constants of $\mathbf{g}$.

The $\mathbf{g}_0$-invariance of the subspaces $\mathcal{R}_L \subset V_L \otimes V_L$ and $\mathcal{R}_R \subset V_R \otimes V_R$ implies that $[u, x_L] \in \langle \mathcal{R}_L \rangle$ when $x_L \in \langle \mathcal{R}_L \rangle$, and that $[u, x_R] \in \langle \mathcal{R}_R \rangle$ when $x_R \in \langle \mathcal{R}_R \rangle$. This shows that our definition of the commutation of elements of $\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}$ with elements of $\mathcal{L}_L$ and $\mathcal{L}_R$ is correctly defined (respects the equivalence relations).

Commutator $[\mathcal{L}_L\ ,\ \mathcal{L}_R]$ Now we have to define the commutator of the type $[x_L, x_R]$ where $x_L \in \mathcal{L}_L$ and $x_R \in \mathcal{L}_R$. Notice that x_R is a nested commutator of the letters ∇^R, therefore it is enough to define the commutator $[x_L, \nabla^R_{\dot{\alpha}}]$.

By definition $x_L \in \mathcal{L}_L$ is a linear combination of expressions of the form:

$$\nabla^L_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \nabla^L_{\alpha_p} \tag{23}$$

Moreover, it is a linear sum of nested commutators/anticommutators:

$$x_L = [\nabla^L_{\alpha_1}, \{\nabla^L_{\alpha_2}, [\nabla^L_{\alpha_3}, \ldots \{\nabla^L_{\alpha_{p-1}}, \nabla^L_{\alpha_p}\} \ldots]\}] \tag{24}$$

(assuming that x_L is odd). We define:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{\ \nabla^R_{\dot\alpha}\ ,\ \ [\nabla^L_{\alpha_1},\{\nabla^L_{\alpha_2},[\nabla^L_{\alpha_3},\ldots\{\nabla^L_{\alpha_{p-1}},\nabla^L_{\alpha_p}\}\ldots]\}]\ \ \}\ = \\
&= [f_{\dot\alpha\alpha_1}{}^{[mn]}t^0_{[mn]},\{\nabla^L_{\alpha_2},[\nabla^L_{\alpha_3},\ldots\{\nabla^L_{\alpha_{p-1}},\nabla^L_{\alpha_p}\}\ldots]\}]- \\
&\quad -\{\nabla^L_{\alpha_1},[f_{\dot\alpha\alpha_2}{}^{[mn]}t^0_{[mn]},[\nabla^L_{\alpha_3},\ldots\{\nabla^L_{\alpha_{p-1}},\nabla^L_{\alpha_p}\}\ldots]\} \qquad (25)
\end{aligned}$$

We then do commute $t^0_{[mn]}$ with the remaining ∇^L, and we are left with the sum of nested commutators of $p-1$ ∇^L. We similarly define the commutator of ∇^R with any sequence of commutators of ∇^L, not necessarily nested. There is a special case when $x_L = \nabla^L_\alpha$ (*i.e.* $p=1$); in this case we are left with $f_{\dot\alpha\alpha}{}^{[mn]}t^0_{[mn]} \in \mathbf{g}_{\bar 0}$.

2.2.2 Consistency

Jacobi identity We have to verify that the commutator which we defined satisfies the Jacobi identity. There are two cases to verify:

1. $[[x_L,y_L],\nabla^R_{\dot\alpha}] = [x_L,[y_L,\nabla^R_{\dot\alpha}]] \pm [[x_L,\nabla^R_{\dot\alpha}],y_L]$
2. $[t^0_{[mn]},[x_L,\nabla^R_{\dot\alpha}]] = [[t^0_{[mn]},x_L],\nabla^R_{\dot\alpha}] + [x_L,[t^0_{[mn]},\nabla^R_{\dot\alpha}]]$

Both follow immediately from the definitions.

In particular, our definition does not depend on the choice of presentation of x_L as a sum of nested commutators of ∇^L.

Consistency with the quadratic relations There are two things to prove.

First, we have to prove:

$$\left[\langle\mathcal{R}_{Lm_1\ldots m_5}\rangle\ ,\ \nabla^R_{\dot\alpha}\right] \subset\ \ \langle\mathcal{R}_L\rangle \qquad (26)$$

This follows from:

$$[\mathcal{R}_{Lm_1\ldots m_5}\ ,\ \nabla^R_{\dot\alpha}] = 0 \qquad (27)$$

The proof of (27) is formally indistinguishable from the verification of the Jacobi identity for $psl(4|4)$:

$$[t^3_\alpha,\{t^1_{\dot\beta},t^1_{\dot\gamma}\}] = [\{t^3_\alpha,t^1_{\dot\beta}\},t^1_{\dot\gamma}] - [t^1_{\dot\beta},\{t^3_\alpha,t^1_{\dot\gamma}\}] \qquad (28)$$

Second, we have to prove:

$$[x_L\ ,\ \mathcal{R}_{Rm_1\dots m_5}] = 0 \tag{29}$$

Since x_L is a nested commutator of ∇^L, the Jacobi identity implies that it is enough to prove (29) for $x_L = \nabla^L_\alpha$. For any pure spinor $\lambda^{\dot\alpha}_R$ we should prove that $[\nabla^L_\alpha, \{\lambda_R, \lambda_R\}] = 0$, where $\lambda_R = \lambda^{\dot\alpha}_R t^1_{\dot\alpha}$. Indeed:

$$[\nabla^L_\alpha, \{\lambda_R, \lambda_R\}] = 2[\{\nabla^L_\alpha, \lambda_R\}, \lambda_R] = 2\lambda^{\dot\gamma}_R \lambda^{\dot\beta}_R f_{\alpha\dot\beta}{}^{[mn]} f_{[mn]\dot\gamma}{}^{\dot\alpha} t^1_{\dot\alpha} \tag{30}$$

This is zero since by the $psl(4|4)$ Jacobi identity $f_{\alpha\dot\beta}{}^{[mn]} f_{[mn]\dot\gamma}{}^{\dot\alpha} = -2 f_{\dot\beta\dot\gamma}{}^m f_{m\alpha}{}^{\dot\alpha}$, and $\lambda^{\dot\beta}_R \lambda^{\dot\gamma}_R f_{\dot\beta\dot\gamma}{}^m = 0$ because λ_R is a pure spinor.

3 Generalization of the Lax pair

The basic relations (11) imply:

$$\{\nabla^L_\alpha, \nabla^L_\beta\} = f_{\alpha\beta}{}^m A^L_m \tag{31}$$

$$[\nabla^L_\alpha, A^L_m] = f_{\alpha m}{}^{\dot\beta} P_{\dot\beta\gamma} W^\gamma_L \tag{32}$$

and similar equations for the commutators of $\nabla^R_{\dot\alpha}$. Here $P_{\dot\beta\gamma}$ is the constant $P_{\dot\alpha\alpha}$
bispinor corresponding to the background RR field strength.

We propose the following generalization of the Lax pair:

$$\begin{aligned} L_+ = &\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau^+} + J^{[mn]}_{0+} t^0_{[mn]}\right) + J^\alpha_{3+} \nabla^L_\alpha + J^m_{2+} A^L_m + (J_{1+})_\alpha W^\alpha_L + \\ &+ \lambda^\alpha_L w^L_{\beta+} \left(\{\nabla^L_\alpha\ ,\ W^\beta_L\} - f_\alpha{}^{\beta[mn]} t^0_{[mn]}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_- = &\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau^-} + J^{[mn]}_{0-} t^0_{[mn]}\right) + J^{\dot\alpha}_{1-} \nabla^R_{\dot\alpha} + J^m_{2-} A^R_m + (J_{3-})_{\dot\alpha} W^{\dot\alpha}_R + \\ &+ \lambda^{\dot\alpha}_R w^R_{\dot\beta-} \left(\{\nabla^R_{\dot\alpha}\ ,\ W^{\dot\beta}_R\} - f_{\dot\alpha}{}^{\dot\beta[mn]} t^0_{[mn]}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Here the currents $J_\pm$ are defined in the same way as in the "standard" Lax pair (1), (2) except for $(J_{1+})_\alpha$ and $(J_{3-})_{\dot\alpha}$ which are related to the $J^{\dot\alpha}_{1+}$ and J^α_{3-} of (1), (2) by lowering the indices with $P_{\alpha\dot\alpha}$:

$$(J_{1+})_\alpha = P_{\alpha\dot\alpha} J^{\dot\alpha}_{1+}\ , \quad (J_{3-})_{\dot\alpha} = P_{\dot\alpha\alpha} J^\alpha_{3-} \tag{35}$$

The purpose of introducing this $P_{\alpha\dot\alpha}$ (which cancels with the $P_{\alpha\dot\alpha}$ of (32)) is to keep notations for generators as in the flat space YM algebra.

In the rest of this section we will verify various properties of the generalized Lax pair.

3.1 Gauge invariance under $sp(2) \oplus sp(2)$

The construction preserves the $\mathbf{g}_{\bar 0}$ gauge invariance, in the following sense. For a $\tau^{\pm}$-dependent"gauge parameter" $\xi_0(\tau^+, \tau^-)$, consider the transformation $\delta_{\xi_{\bar 0}}$ acting on the currents (5) and ghosts $w_\pm$, λ_L, λ_R as follows:

$$\delta_{\xi_{\bar 0}} g = \xi_{\bar 0} g \tag{36}$$

$$\delta_{\xi_{\bar 0}} \lambda_{L|R} = [\xi_{\bar 0},\ \lambda_{L|R}] \tag{37}$$

$$\delta_{\xi_{\bar 0}} w_\pm = [\xi_{\bar 0},\ w_\pm] \tag{38}$$

It results in the "covariant" transformation of $L_\pm$, *i.e.*:

$$\delta_{\xi_0} L_\pm = [\xi_0,\ L_\pm] \quad \text{for } \xi_0 \in \mathbf{g}_{\bar 0} \tag{39}$$

3.2 Zero curvature equations

The proof of $[L_+, L_-] = 0$ is straightforward using the equations of motion. The list of equations of motion can be found *e.g.* in Section 2.2 of [2]. When $[L_+, L_-] = 0$ is verified in [1] for (6) and (7), only some (but not all) of the commutation relations of the twisted loop superalgebra are used. The definition of $\mathcal{L}_{\text{tot}}$ is such that those commutation relations which are really needed to verify $[L_+, L_-] = 0$ are identical to the commutations relations in the twisted loop algebra. The following observations are useful:

3.2.1 Grading of $\mathcal{L}_{\text{tot}}$

deg

The algebra $\mathcal{L}_{\text{tot}}$ is $\mathbf{Z}$-graded, with $\deg(\nabla^L_\alpha) = 1$ and $\deg(\nabla^R_{\dot\alpha}) = -1$. Obviously, all elements of $\mathcal{L}_L \subset \mathcal{L}_{\text{tot}}$ are of positive grade, while all elements of $\mathcal{L}_R \subset \mathcal{L}_{\text{tot}}$ are of negative grade. Let $\mathcal{L}^{[m,n]}_{\text{tot}}$ denote the subspace of $\mathcal{L}_{\text{tot}}$ consisting of elements x such that $m \leq \deg x \leq n$. The twisted loop algebra $L\mathbf{g}$ also has grading, $\deg z = -1$, with similar notations $L\mathbf{g}^{[m,n]}$.

$\mathcal{L}^{[m,n]}_{\text{tot}}$

$L\mathbf{g}^{[m,n]}$

3.2.2 Structure of $\mathcal{L}^{[-3,3]}_{\rm tot}$

Notice that $\mathcal{L}^{[1,3]}_{\rm tot}$, as a linear space, coincides with $\mathbf{g}_{\bar{3}}+\mathbf{g}_{\bar{2}}+\mathbf{g}_{\bar{1}}$. Namely, ∇^L_α corresponds to t^3_α, then $\{\nabla^L_\alpha,\ \nabla^L_\beta\} = f_{\alpha\beta}{}^m A^L_m$ and A^L_m corresponds to t^2_m, then $[\nabla^L_\alpha, A^L_m] = f_{\alpha m}{}^{\dot\alpha} P_{\dot\alpha\beta} W^\beta$ and $P_{\dot\alpha\beta}W^\beta$ corresponds to $t^1_{\dot\alpha}$. Consider now $\mathcal{L}^{[-3,3]}_{\rm tot}$. Observation:

- As a linear space $\mathcal{L}^{[-3,3]}_{\rm tot}$ coincides with the twisted loop algebra $L\mathbf{g}$ in degrees from -3 to 3
- The commutators of elements of $\mathcal{L}^{[-3,3]}_{\rm tot}$ coincide with the commutators of the corresponding elements of the twisted loop algebra, but only as long as they do not lead outside the degree range $[-3,3]$

3.2.3 Commutator of $\mathcal{L}^{[4,4]}_{\rm tot}$ with $\mathcal{L}^{[-3,-1]}_{\rm tot}$

As a linear space $\mathcal{L}^{[4,4]}_{\rm tot}$ is a direct sum of $\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}$ and some complementary space $\mathcal{N}_L$:

$$\mathcal{L}^{[4,4]}_{\rm tot} = \mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}} \ \oplus\ \mathcal{N}_L \tag{40}$$

As a linear space $\mathcal{N}_L \simeq (\mathbf{C}^5 \otimes \mathbf{C}^5)$; it is generated by the elements of the form $[A^L_m, A^L_n]$ for $m \in \{0,\ldots,4\}$ and $n \in \{5,\ldots,9\}$.

- The commutator of the elements of $\mathcal{N}$ with the elements of $\mathcal{L}^{[-3,-1]}_{\rm tot}$ is zero.
- The commutators of $\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}} \subset \mathcal{L}^{[4,4]}_{\rm tot}$ with the elements of $\mathcal{L}^{[-3,-1]}_{\rm tot}$ can be described by identifying, as a linear space, $\mathcal{L}^{[-3,-1]}_{\rm tot}$ with $L\mathbf{g}^{[-3,-1]}$. The subspace $\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}} \subset \mathcal{L}^{[4,4]}_{\rm tot}$ can be identified as a linear space with $L\mathbf{g}^{[4,4]}$. With these identifications, the commutator of an element of $\mathcal{L}^{[-3,-1]}_{\rm tot}$ with an element of $\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}} \subset \mathcal{L}^{[4,4]}_{\rm tot}$ is the same as the commutator of the corresponding element of $L\mathbf{g}^{[-3,-1]}$ with the corresponding element of $L\mathbf{g}^{[4,4]}$. It falls into $L\mathbf{g}^{[1,3]} \simeq \mathcal{L}^{[1,3]}_{\rm tot}$.

3.3 Gauge transformation of $w_\pm$

The definition of $L_\pm$ is correct w.r.to the gauge transformations of $w_\pm$:

$$\delta_\Lambda w_{\alpha+} = \Gamma^m_{\alpha\beta}\Lambda_{m+}\lambda^\beta \tag{41}$$

This follows from the existence F^L_{mn} such that $\{\nabla^L_\alpha\ ,\ W^\beta_L\} = F^L_{mn}(\Gamma^{mn})^\beta_\alpha$ — see Appendix B of [6].

3.4 BRST transformation

We still have the standard BRST transformation rule:

$$Q_{BRST}L_\pm \;=\; \left[L_\pm\;,\;\left(\lambda^\alpha_L\nabla^L_\alpha+\lambda^{\dot\alpha}_R\nabla^R_{\dot\alpha}\right)\right] \tag{42}$$

3.5 Comment about the coupling of ghosts

Notice that the coupling to $\lambda_L w_+$ and $\lambda_R w_-$ involves the full $so(10)$ Lorentz currents, not only the $so(1,4)\oplus so(5)$ part. Indeed, the term $\lambda^\alpha_L w^L_{\beta+}\{\nabla^L_\alpha\;,\;W^\beta_L\}$ in (33) can be split into two parts according to (40):

$$\lambda^\alpha_L w^L_{\beta+}\{\nabla^L_\alpha\;,\;W^\beta_L\} = \lambda^\alpha_L w^L_{\beta+}\left(\{\nabla^L_\alpha\;,\;W^\beta_L\}\Big|_{\mathbf{g}_{\bar 0}}+\{\nabla^L_\alpha\;,\;W^\beta_L\}\Big|_{\mathcal{N}_L}\right) \tag{43}$$

Since the commutator of $\mathcal{L}_R$ with $\mathcal{N}_L$ is zero, the zero curvature equation $[L_+,L_-]=0$ would hold even if we drop the coupling to $\{\nabla^L_\alpha\;,\;W^\beta_L\}\Big|_{\mathcal{N}_L}$. The requirement of $\mathbf{g}_{\bar 0}$-gauge invariance, as well as the invariance under the gauge transformation of $w_\pm$, would be also satisfied. The only thing that would break is the BRST covariance (42). Indeed, for $L_\pm$ to be BRST-covariant, the terms of L_+ (and similarly L_-) should satisfy the chain of identities:

$$\left[\epsilon\lambda^\alpha_L\nabla^L_\alpha\;,\;\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau^+}+\left(J^{[mn]}_{0+}-\lambda^\alpha_L w^L_{\beta+}f_\alpha{}^{\beta[mn]}\right)t^0_{[mn]}\right)\right] = \epsilon Q_L J^\alpha_{3+}\nabla^L_\alpha \tag{44}$$

$$\left[\epsilon\lambda^\alpha_L\nabla^L_\alpha\;,\;J^\beta_{3+}\nabla^L_\beta\right] = \epsilon Q_L J^m_{2+}A^L_m \tag{45}$$

$$\left[\epsilon\lambda^\alpha_L\nabla^L_\alpha\;,\;J^m_{2+}A^L_m\right] = \epsilon Q_L (J_{1+})_\alpha W^\alpha_L \tag{46}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\left[\epsilon\lambda^\alpha_L\nabla^L_\alpha\;,\;(J_{1+})_\beta W^\beta_L\right] &= \lambda^\alpha_L\epsilon Q_L w^L_{\beta+}\times\\ &\quad\times\{\nabla^L_\alpha\;,\;W^\beta_L\}\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

$$\left[\epsilon\lambda^\gamma_L\nabla^L_\gamma\;,\;\lambda^\alpha_L w^L_{\beta+}\{\nabla^L_\alpha\;,\;W^\beta_L\}\right] = 0 \tag{48}$$

If we drop the coupling to $\{\nabla^L_\alpha\;,\;W^\beta_L\}\Big|_{\mathcal{N}_L}$, or modify its coefficient, then Eq. (47) will break.

Generally speaking, BRST invariance should imply the zero curvature conditions, along the lines of [7]. But the presented example shows that the zero curvature condition does not necessarily imply the BRST invariance.

Notice that $\mathcal{N}_L$ generates an ideal $I(\mathcal{N}_L)$ of $\mathcal{L}_{\text{tot}}$ which is a subset of $\mathcal{L}_L$:

$$I(\mathcal{N}_L) \subset \mathcal{L}_L \tag{49}$$

and similarly $\mathcal{N}_R$. It seems plausible that the factoralgebra $\mathcal{L}_{\text{tot}}/(I(\mathcal{N}_L) + I(\mathcal{N}_R))$ is $L\mathbf{g}$, but we have not looked into that.

4 Open questions

Given the Lax pair L_+, L_-, of the form:

$$L_\pm = \frac{\partial}{\partial\tau^\pm} + A_\pm \tag{50}$$

one can construct the transfer-matrix:

$$T(\tau_{\text{fin}}, \tau_{\text{in}}) = P \exp\left(-\int_{\tau_{\text{in}}}^{\tau_{\text{fin}}} (A_+ d\tau^+ + A_- d\tau^-)\right) \tag{51}$$

This is not $\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}$-gauge invariant. The variation of $T(\tau_{\text{fin}}, \tau_{\text{in}})$ under the gauge transformation of Section 3.1 is:

$$\delta_\xi T(\tau_{\text{fin}}, \tau_{\text{in}}) = \xi(\tau_{\text{fin}}) T(\tau_{\text{fin}}, \tau_{\text{in}}) - T(\tau_{\text{fin}}, \tau_{\text{in}}) \xi(\tau_{\text{in}}) \tag{52}$$

One could say that the transfer matrix is gauge invariant "up to the boundary terms". These boundary terms could be cancelled by considering the trace of the transfer matrix over the closed contour. Alternatively, one could imagine some networks of Wilson lines corresponding to different representations, with $\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}$-invariant vertices. In any case, there is a potential difficulty. It is not clear for which representations of $\mathcal{L}_{\text{tot}}$ the trace of T is well-defined. It may be the case, that the trace is only well-defined in those representations which are representations of the twisted loop algebra. If this is true, then our construction does not give any new conserved charges.

In any case, it would be interesting to understand the representations of $\mathcal{L}_{\text{tot}}$. Moreover, even the representations of the Yang-Mills algebra $\mathcal{L}_L$ are not well-understood. Physically, what do they correspond to?

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation under the project 14.740.11.0347 "Integrable and algebro-geometric structures in string theory and quantum field theory", and in part by the RFFI grant 10-02-01315 "String theory and integrable systems".

References

[1] B. C. Vallilo, *Flat currents in the classical AdS(5) x S(5) pure spinor superstring*, *JHEP* **03** (2004) 037 [`hep-th/0307018`].

[2] A. Mikhailov and S. Schafer-Nameki, *Perturbative study of the transfer matrix on the string worldsheet in AdS(5) x S**5*, *Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.* **15** (2011) 913–972 [`arXiv/0706.1525`].

[3] A. Mikhailov, *Pure spinors in AdS and Lie algebra cohomology*, `arXiv/1207.2441` .

[4] E. Witten, *Twistor - Like Transform in Ten-Dimensions*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B266** (1986) 245 doi: **10.1016/0550-3213(86)90090-8**.

[5] M. Movshev and A. S. Schwarz, *Supersymmetric Deformations of Maximally Supersymmetric Gauge Theories*, `arXiv/0910.0620` .

[6] C. R. Mafra, *Superstring Scattering Amplitudes with the Pure Spinor Formalism*, `arXiv/arXiv:0902.1552` .

[7] V. G. M. Puletti, *Aspects of quantum integrability for pure spinor superstring in AdS(5)xS(5)*, *JHEP* **09** (2008) 070 doi: **10.1088/1126-6708/2008/09/070** [`arXiv/arXiv:0808.0282`].